are under submission by the Municipality.

(*d*) Yes. But it refers to a separate survey number not occupied by the Municipality.

---

**Thimmanakunte in the Agrahara of Chintamani Town**

**(Use of water)**

*Q.*—674. **Sri M. C. ANJANEYA REDDY** (Chintamani).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the survey number in which Thimmanakunte in the Agrahara of Chintamani Town is situated; the amount spent by the Municipality for the repairs of this kunte ;

(*b*) whether they are aware that the Municipal President is utilising by lift irrigation the water of this *kunte* for irrigating his garden land?

*A.*—**Sri T. CHANNIAH** (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

(*a*) The kunte is situated in S. No. 223 of Nekkundi Village within the Municipal limits.

A sum of Rs. 240-12-0 has been spent by the Municipality for effecting repairs and removal of silt.

(*b*) No.

---

## PETITION IN RESPECT OF THE MYSORE (RELIGIOUS AND CHARITABLE) INAMS ABOLITION BILL, 1954.

**SECRETARY, Mysore Legislature.**—Sir, as required by Rule 95 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Legislative Assembly, I beg to report that a petition has been received by me from the Secretary of His Holiness Sri Chandrasekhara Bharathi Jagadguru Sri Sringeri Shankaracharya Gurumaharajadhiraj Seva Samithi in respect of the Mysore (Religious and Charitable) Inams Abolition Bill, 1954.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—As required by Rule 98 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Businesss in the Mysore Legislative Assembly, I refer the petition reported by the Secretary to the Committee on Petitions.

The debate on the Mysore (Personal and Miscellaneous) Inams Abolition Bill will continue now.

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—The general discussion on the motion for consideration may continue, Sir.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Yes.

---

## MYSORE (PERSONAL AND MISCELLANEOUS) INAMS ABOLITION BILL, 1953.

*Motion to consider* (contd).

**Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR** (T. Narsipur).—I rise to place before this Hon'ble House my observations on this Bill. At the outset, I want to know whether under Rule 56 of the Rules of Procedure, the Law Minister should not also have been a member of the Select Committee. In the report of the Select Committee, I do not find the signature of the Hon'ble Minister for Law. Rule 56 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Mysore Legislative Assembly says :

> " that the member in charge of the Bill and the Minister for Law shall be members of every Select Committee, and it shall not be necessary to include their names in any motion for appointment of such a Committee."

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—There is a different rule for Joint Select Committees.

**Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.**—In the second place, with the object of the Bill, I entirely agree. The abolition of Inams is also our principle. But in respect of the way in which the problem is approached, I differ. In the first instance, the Bill as placed before this House is not for the simplification of the tenure in the Inam or Jodi or Kayamgutta villages, but is in the shape of compulsory acquisition of property. Now, Sir, as we all want that the present system of Inam villages should be abolished, I submit we should have approached the problem